



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/529,516

10/17/2005

Vilho Nissinen

Bergpat-10

6151

36528 7590 05/19/2009

STIENNON & STIENNON
612 W. MAIN ST., SUITE 201
P.O. BOX 1667
MADISON, WI 53701-1667

EXAMINER

TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/19/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,516	Applicant(s) NISSINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner YEWEBDAR T. TADESSE	Art Unit 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YEWEBDAR T. TADESSE. (3) ____.

(2) Patrick Stiennon. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: DE'3339222, Brook et al and Bernert et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney explained the structural difference, divergent and convergent arrangements of applicant's nozzle in view of prior arts listed above. It is indicated that examiner will consider attorney's argument upon filing a formal amendment. It is suggested that applicant's claims should include languages about the location of the closed tip on the nozzle body and the connection between preliminary and secondary nozzles.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yewebdar T Tadesse/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792	
---	--